4

8 9

11

10

12 13

15

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

In the Matter of the Revocation of the Collection | No. 09F-BD067-BNK Agency License of:

OXFORD COLLECTION AGENCY, INC. AND CHARLES HARRIS, VICE PRESIDENT

420 Lawrence Bell Drive, Suite 2 Williamsville, NY 14221

Respondents.

AMENDED NOTICE OF HEARING TO REVOKE AND COMPLAINT



PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, under Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S.") §§ 6-137, 6-138, and 41-1092.02, the above-captioned matter will be heard through the Office of Administrative Hearings, an independent agency, and is scheduled for July 22, 2009, at 9:00 a.m., at the Office of Administrative Hearings, 1400 West Washington, Suite 101, Phoenix, Arizona, (602) 542-9826 (the "Hearing").

The purpose of the Hearing is to determine if grounds exist for: (1) the issuance of an order pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-137 directing Respondents to cease and desist from the violative conduct and to take the appropriate affirmative actions, within a reasonable period of time prescribed by the Superintendent, to correct the conditions resulting from the unlawful acts, practices, and transactions; (2) the imposition of a civil monetary penalty pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-132; (3) the suspension or revocation of Respondents' license pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1053; (4) an order to pay restitution of any fees earned in violation of A.R.S. §§ 32-1001, et seq., pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 6-131(A)(3) and 6-137; and (5) an order or any other remedy necessary or proper for the enforcement of statutes and rules regulating collection agencies pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 6-123 and 6-131.

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-138, the Superintendent of Financial Institutions for the State of Arizona (the "Superintendent") delegates the authority vested in the Superintendent, whether implied or expressed, to the Director of the Office of Administrative Hearings or the Director's designee to preside over the Hearing as the Administrative Law Judge, to make written recommendations to the Superintendent consisting of proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order. The Office

of Administrative Hearings has designated Thomas Shedden, at the address and phone number listed above, as the Administrative Law Judge for these proceedings. Pursuant to Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.") Rule 2-19-104 and A.R.S. §§ 41-1092.01(H)(1) and 41-1092.08, the Superintendent retains his authority to enter orders granting a stay, orders on motions for rehearing, final decisions under A.R.S. § 41-1092.08 or other order or process which the Administrative Law Judge is specifically prohibited from entering.

Motions to continue this matter shall be made in writing to the Administrative Law Judge not less than fifteen (15) days prior to the date set for the Hearing. A copy of any motion to continue shall be mailed or hand-delivered to the opposing party on the same date of filing with the Office of Administrative Hearings.

A.R.S. § 41-1092.07 entitles any person affected by this Hearing to appear in person and by counsel, or to proceed without counsel during the giving of all evidence, to have a reasonable opportunity to inspect all documentary evidence, to cross-examine witnesses, to present evidence and witnesses in support of his/her interests, and to have subpoenas issued by the Administrative Law Judge to compel attendance of witnesses and production of evidence. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.07(B), any person may appear on his or her own behalf or by counsel.

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.07(E), a clear and accurate record of the proceedings will be made by a court reporter. The transcription of the hearing proceedings by the court reporter shall be the official record for purposes of the Administrative Law Judge's Recommended Decision and the Superintendent's Final Decision and Order. Any party that requests a transcript of the proceedings shall pay the cost of the transcript for the court reporter or other transcriber.

Questions concerning issues raised in this Notice of Hearing should be directed to Assistant Attorney General Craig A. Raby, (602) 542-8889, 1275 West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

NOTICE OF APPLICABLE RULES

On February 7, 1978, the Arizona Department of Financial Institutions (the "Department") adopted A.A.C. R20-4-1201 through R20-4-1220, which were amended September 12, 2001, setting

forth the rules of practice and procedure applicable in contested cases and appealable agency actions before the Superintendent. The hearing will be conducted pursuant to these rules and the rules governing procedures before the Office of Administrative Hearings, A.A.C. R2-19-101 through R2-19-122. A copy of these rules is enclosed.

Pursuant to A.A.C. R20-4-1209, Respondents shall file a written answer within twenty (20) days after issuance of this Notice of Hearing. The answer shall briefly state the Respondents' position or defense and shall specifically admit or deny each of the assertions contained in this Notice of Hearing. If the answering Respondents are without or are unable to reasonably obtain knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of an assertion, Respondents shall so state, which shall have the effect of a denial. Any assertion not denied is deemed admitted. When Respondents intend to deny only a part or a qualification of an assertion, or to qualify an assertion, Respondents shall expressly admit so much of it as is true and shall deny the remainder. Any defense not raised in the answer is deemed waived.

If a timely answer is not filed, pursuant to A.A.C. R20-4-1209(D), Respondents will be deemed in default and the Superintendent may deem the allegations in this Notice of Hearing as true and admitted and the Superintendent may take whatever action is appropriate, including suspension, revocation, denial of Respondents' license or affirming an order to Cease and Desist and imposition of a civil penalty or restitution to any injured party.

Respondents' answer shall be mailed or delivered to the Arizona Department of Financial Institutions, 2910 North 44th Street, Suite 310, Phoenix, Arizona 85018, with a copy mailed or delivered to the Office of Administrative Hearings, 1400 West Washington, Suite 101, Phoenix, Arizona 85007 and to Assistant Attorney General Craig A. Raby, Consumer Protection & Advocacy Section, Attorney General's Office, 1275 West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

Persons with disabilities may request reasonable accommodations such as interpreters, alternative format or assistance with physical accessibility. Requests for accommodations must be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodations. If accommodations are

required, call the Office of Administrative Hearings at (602) 542-9826.

2

1

3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

COMPLAINT

- 1. Respondent Oxford Collection Agency, Inc. ("Oxford") is a New York corporation authorized to transact business in Arizona as a collection agency within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 32-1001, et seq. The nature of Oxford's business is that of soliciting claims for collection and collection of claims owed, due or asserted to be owed or due within the meaning of A.R.S. § 32-1001(2)(a).
- 2. Respondent Charles Harris ("Mr. Harris") is the Vice President and Active Manager of Oxford. Mr. Harris is authorized to transact business in Arizona as a collection agency within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 32-1001, et seq.
- 3. Neither Oxford nor Mr. Harris are exempt from licensure as a collection agency within the meaning of A.R.S. § 32-1004.
- 4. On June 4, 2007, the Department sent Oxford notice that an examination of Oxford would commence at the Department on or about August 17, 2007. The notice requested certain records and information be forwarded to the Department. Oxford did not respond to the request.
- 5. On November 2, 2007, the Department's examiner, Jack Watson ("Mr. Watson") called Mr. Harris regarding the examination and faxed him the notice of examination and licensee questionnaire.
- 6. Mr. Watson spoke with Mr. Harris and the examination was rescheduled for January 10, 2008. Again, Oxford failed to respond to the Department's request for information in order to conduct the examination.
- 7. On January 29, 2008, Mr. Watson telephoned Mr. Harris regarding the examination and the Department's requests for information that had been ignored by Oxford. Again, Mr. Watson faxed the notice of examination and licensee questionnaire to Respondents.
- 8. Because of Mr. Harris' unresponsiveness, Mr. Watson placed a second call to Mr. Harris on May 15, 2008 and re-faxed the notice of examination and licensee questionnaire to

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

Respondents. At that point, Mr. Harris indicated the examination documents would be received by the Department by mid-August.

- On September 8, 2008, Mr. Watson telephoned Mr. Harris and Randall Silver ("Mr. 9. Silver"), Chief Financial Officer and left messages for both. Mr. Watson again faxed the notice of examination and licensee questionnaire to Respondents.
- On September 11, 2008, Mr. Silver telephoned Mr. Watson and asked him to again 10. re-fax the documents that previously had been sent to Respondents five (5) times.
- On February 3, 2009, the Department issued and served upon Respondents an Order 11. to Cease and Desist; Notice of Opportunity for Hearing; Consent to Entry of Order ("Cease and Desist Order"). The return receipt (green card) indicating delivery of the Cease and Desist order was signed by Respondents on February 6, 2009. The Cease and Desist Order was also forwarded to Respondents' statutory agent, who signed for receipt on February 4, 2009.
- The Cease and Desist Order imposed upon Respondents a civil money penalty of five 12. thousand dollars (\$5,000.00).
- The Cease and Desist Order provided Respondents an opportunity to appeal the Order 13. within thirty (30) days of service. Respondents did not file a request for hearing in order to appeal the Cease and Desist Order, nor did they remit the civil money penalty to the Department or provide to the Department the records and information requested.
- Based upon Respondents' failure to act upon the Cease and Desist Order, the Cease 14. and Desist Order became a final Order on March 11, 2009.
- On March 23, 2009, Robert D. Charlton, Assistant Superintendent at the Department, 15. sent Respondents a letter notifying them of the final Cease and Desist Order.
- In late April of 2009, Respondents finally provided the records the Department had 16. been requesting since June 4, 2007.
- On May 6, 2009, Mr. Watson received the licensee questionnaire from Respondents 17. via e-mail.

- 18. On May 18, 2009, at the end of the examination which commenced on May 15, 2009, Mr. Watson requested Respondents' current financial statement from Mr. Silver, who had forwarded the examination documents to the Department. Mr. Watson received the financial statement on May 28, 2009.
- 19. Respondents have failed to pay the \$5,000 civil money penalty in violation of the Superintendent's Final Order dated February 3, 2009.
- 20. Mr. Watson's examination, conducted May 15, 2009 through May 18, 2009, revealed the following violations:
 - a. Respondents failed to timely make available to the Department all books and records for inspection and examination by the Superintendent or her examiners, by refusing to allow the Department to conduct an examination of Oxford pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-122(B)(3);
 - b. Respondents failed to maintain all records required under A.A.C. R20-4-1504 and failed to timely make them available for examination, investigation or audit in Arizona within three (3) working days after the Superintendent demanded the records; and
 - c. Respondents used a name other than the name under which they are licensed, specifically:
 - Respondents' collection letters refer to the Company as "Oxford Management Services, Inc." rather than "Oxford Collection Agency, Inc., its licensed name; and
 - ii. Respondents failed to correct this violation from their previous examination.

<u>LAW</u>

1. Pursuant to Title 32, Chapter 9 of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Superintendent is charged with the duty to regulate all persons engaged in the collection agency business and with the

enforcement of statutes, rules and regulations relating to collection agencies.

- 2. By the conduct set forth in the Complaint, Respondents have violated statutes and rules governing collection agents as follows:
 - a. A.R.S. § 6-124(C), by refusing to allow the Department to conduct an examination of Oxford pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-122(B)(3);
 - b. A.A.C. R20-4-1504(D), by failing to maintain all records required under A.A.C. R20-4-1504 and failing to timely make them available for examination, investigation or audit in Arizona within three (3) working days after the Superintendent demands the records; and
 - c. A.A.C. R20-4-1519(C), by conducting business under more than one name under the same license.
- 3. Respondents violated the February 3, 2009 Superintendent's Final Order by failing to produce the records in a timely manner and by failing to pay the \$5,000 Civil Money Penalty.
- 4. Respondents' violation of the February 3, 2009 Superintendent's Final Order constitutes grounds to suspend or revoke Respondents' collection agency license pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1053(A)(3).
- 5. Respondents' failure to timely respond to the Superintendent's request for information constitutes a violation of A.R.S. § 6-123(3) and 6-124(C), which constitutes grounds to suspend or revoke Respondents' collection agency license pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1053(A)(3).
- 6. Respondents failure to conduct their collection agency business in accordance with the law, constitutes grounds to suspend or revoke Respondents' collection agency license pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1053(A)(3).
- 7. Respondents' conduct has shown that they are not persons of honesty, truthfulness or good character, which constitutes grounds to suspend or revoke Respondents' collection agency license pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1053(A)(2).
 - 8. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-132, Respondents' violations of the aforementioned statutes

are grounds for a civil penalty of not more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) for each violation for each day.

- 9. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-132, Oxford and Mr. Harris shall be assessed a civil money penalty in the amount of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00). Oxford and Mr. Harris shall be jointly and severally liable for payment of the civil money penalty.
- 10. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-125(B)(4), Oxford and Mr. Harris shall be assessed an examination fee in the amount of one thousand, one hundred five dollars (\$1,105.00), pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-122(B)(3), plus any applicable late fees pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-125(D).
- 11. The violations set forth above constitute grounds for: (1) the issuance of an order pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-137 directing Respondents to cease and desist from the violative conduct and to take the appropriate affirmative actions, within a reasonable period of time prescribed by the Superintendent, to correct the conditions resulting from the unlawful acts, practices, and transactions; (2) the imposition of a civil monetary penalty pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-132; (3) the suspension or revocation of Respondents' license pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1053; (4) an order to pay restitution of any fees earned in violation of A.R.S. §§ 32-1001, et seq., pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 6-131(A)(3) and 6-137; and (5) an order or any other remedy necessary or proper for the enforcement of statutes and rules regulating collection agents pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 6-123 and 6-131.

WHEREFORE, if after a hearing, the Superintendent makes a finding of one or more of the above-described violations, the Superintendent may impose a civil money penalty pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-132; suspend or revoke Oxford Collection Agency, Inc.'s collection agency license pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1053; order payment of restitution of any fees earned in violation of A.R.S. §§ 32-1001, et seq., pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 6-131(A)(3) and 6-137; and order any other remedy necessary or proper for the enforcement of statutes and rules regulating collection agencies pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 6-123 and 6-131.

25 || .

26 || . .

1	DATED this day of	Tunt	, 2009.	
2		Felecia A. Rotellini Superintendent of Fina	incial Institutions	
3		0/10	1 11	
4		By (MAT).	US	
5		Robert D. Charlton Assistant Superinte	n endent of Financial Institutions	
6		•		
7	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this 19 day of, 2009, in the office of	-		
8		t :		
9	Felecia A. Rotellini Superintendent of Financial Institutions			
10	Arizona Department of Financial Institutions ATTN: Susan Ross			
11	2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310			
12	Phoenix, AZ 85018			
13	COPY mailed same date to:			
14	Thomas Shedden, Administrative Law Judge Office of the Administrative Hearings			
15	1400 West Washington, Suite 101 Phoenix, AZ 85007			
16	Craig A. Raby, Assistant Attorney General			
17	Office of the Attorney General			
18	1275 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007			
19	Robert D. Charlton, Assistant Superintendent			
20	Jack E. Watson, Senior Examiner Arizona Department of Financial Institutions			
21	2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310 Phoenix, AZ 85018			
22	AND COPY MAILED SAME DATE by			
23	Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, and by Overnight Mail, to:			
24	Charles Harris, Vice President & Active Man Oxford Collection Agency, Inc.	agei		
25	135 Maxess Road Melville, NY 11747			
26	Respondents			

1	AND COPY MAILED SAME DATE by		
2	Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, to:		
3	Corporation Service Company, Statutory Agent for: Oxford Collection Agency, Inc. 2338 W. Royal Palm Rd., Ste. J Phoenix, AZ 85021		
4			
5	AND COPY DELIVERED SAME DATE by Personal Service, to:		
6	Corporation Service Company, Statutory Agent for: Oxford Collection Agency, Inc. 2338 W. Royal Palm Rd., Ste. J Phoenix, AZ 85021		
7			
8			
9	Damis Dant		
10	479309; PHX-AGN-2009-0439		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			